UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/702,303 | 11/06/2003 | Arnold R. Leiboff | 461.1008 | 8007 |
| 22846 BRIAN ROFFE | 7590 05/14/200 E. ESO | EXAMINER | | |
| 11 SUNRISE P | LAZA, SUITE 303 | | HALL, DEANNA K | |
| VALLEY STREAM, NY 11580-6111 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/14/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| | 10/702,303 | LEIBOFF, ARNOLD R. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DEANNA K. HALL | 3767 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) | awn from consideration. | uirement. |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Prity documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date |

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

- a. Species I drawn to a method in which the guidewire is withdrawn before fluid is introduced and including claims 14 and 41.
- b. Species II drawn to a method in which the fluid is introduced over the guidewire and including claims 31-33 and 35-37.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 7-10, 12-13, 29, 34, 38-40 and 42 are generic.

3. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3767

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Art Unit: 3767

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEANNA K. HALL whose telephone number is (571)272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3767

Examiner, Art Unit 3767 5/13/09 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767